

Uniqueness and Non-Uniqueness of Measures of Maximal Entropy

Based on the lecture notes by R. Pavlov

Tymoteusz Chmiel

Jagiellonian University

13.09.2019

Examples of subshifts on \mathbb{Z}^2

Iceberg shift \mathcal{I}_M

Examples of subshifts on \mathbb{Z}^2

Iceberg shift \mathcal{I}_M

The alphabet is $\mathcal{A} = \{-M, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, M\}$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$,
and the set of forbidden patterns is $\{(ij), \binom{i}{j} : ij < -1\}$.

Examples of subshifts on \mathbb{Z}^2

Iceberg shift \mathcal{I}_M

The alphabet is $\mathcal{A} = \{-M, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, M\}$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$,
and the set of forbidden patterns is $\{(ij), \binom{i}{j} : ij < -1\}$.

Hard-core shift \mathcal{H}

Examples of subshifts on \mathbb{Z}^2

Iceberg shift \mathcal{I}_M

The alphabet is $\mathcal{A} = \{-M, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, M\}$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$,
and the set of forbidden patterns is $\{(ij), \binom{i}{j} : ij < -1\}$.

Hard-core shift \mathcal{H}

The alphabet is $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1\}$ and the set of forbidden patterns is $\{(11), \binom{1}{1}\}$.

Examples of subshifts on \mathbb{Z}^2

Iceberg shift \mathcal{I}_M

The alphabet is $\mathcal{A} = \{-M, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, M\}$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$, and the set of forbidden patterns is $\{(ij), \binom{i}{j} : ij < -1\}$.

Hard-core shift \mathcal{H}

The alphabet is $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1\}$ and the set of forbidden patterns is $\{(11), \binom{1}{1}\}$.

We will show that Iceberg shift admits at least two measures of maximal entropy, while for Hard-core shift there is a unique mme.

Examples of subshifts on \mathbb{Z}^2

Iceberg shift \mathcal{I}_M

The alphabet is $\mathcal{A} = \{-M, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, M\}$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$, and the set of forbidden patterns is $\{(ij), \binom{i}{j} : ij < -1\}$.

Hard-core shift \mathcal{H}

The alphabet is $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1\}$ and the set of forbidden patterns is $\{(11), \binom{1}{1}\}$.

We will show that Iceberg shift admits at least two measures of maximal entropy, while for Hard-core shift there is a unique mme.

To be precise, we will show that for *uniform Gibbs measures*.

Non-Uniqueness for the Iceberg shift

First we construct two shift-invariant uniform Gibbs measures on \mathcal{I}_M .

Non-Uniqueness for the Iceberg shift

First we construct two shift-invariant uniform Gibbs measures on \mathcal{I}_M .

This can be done by conditioning M , resp. $-M$, on $\partial\{-n, \dots, n\}^2$ and then letting $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Non-Uniqueness for the Iceberg shift

First we construct two shift-invariant uniform Gibbs measures on \mathcal{I}_M .

This can be done by conditioning M , resp. $-M$, on $\partial\{-n, \dots, n\}^2$ and then letting $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Warning: *there are some technical difficulties here.*

Non-Uniqueness for the Iceberg shift

First we construct two shift-invariant uniform Gibbs measures on \mathcal{I}_M .

This can be done by conditioning M , resp. $-M$, on $\partial\{-n, \dots, n\}^2$ and then letting $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Warning: *there are some technical difficulties here.*

Let us denote by μ_+ , resp. μ_- , measures obtained by this procedure.

Non-Uniqueness for the Iceberg shift

First we construct two shift-invariant uniform Gibbs measures on \mathcal{I}_M .

This can be done by conditioning M , resp. $-M$, on $\partial\{-n, \dots, n\}^2$ and then letting $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Warning: *there are some technical difficulties here.*

Let us denote by μ_+ , resp. μ_- , measures obtained by this procedure.

They are uniform Gibbs measures and we will show that for $M \gg 1$ they are indeed different.

Non-Uniqueness for the Iceberg shift

To show this we will prove that $\mathbb{P}(x_{(0,0)} > 0 \mid x|_{\partial\{-n,\dots,n\}^2} \equiv -M) < \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon$.

Non-Uniqueness for the Iceberg shift

To show this we will prove that $\mathbb{P}(x_{(0,0)} > 0 \mid x|_{\partial\{-n,\dots,n\}^2} \equiv -M) < \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon$.

Then obviously $\mathbb{P}(x_{(0,0)} > 0 \mid x|_{\partial\{-n,\dots,n\}^2} \equiv M) > \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon$

and therefore $\mu_+\{x_{(0,0)} > 0\} > \mu_-\{x_{(0,0)} > 0\} \implies \mu_+ \neq \mu_-$.

Non-Uniqueness for the Iceberg shift

To show this we will prove that $\mathbb{P}(x_{(0,0)} > 0 \mid x|_{\partial\{-n,\dots,n\}^2} \equiv -M) < \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon$.

Then obviously $\mathbb{P}(x_{(0,0)} > 0 \mid x|_{\partial\{-n,\dots,n\}^2} \equiv M) > \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon$
and therefore $\mu_+\{x_{(0,0)} > 0\} > \mu_-\{x_{(0,0)} > 0\} \implies \mu_+ \neq \mu_-$.

For any x such that $x_{(0,0)} > 0$ and $x|_{\partial\{-n,\dots,n\}^2} \equiv -M$ there is maximal path-connected component of $\{-n, \dots, n\}^2$ on which x is positive.

Call it P_x and let $B_x := \partial P_x$.

By the definition of \mathcal{I}_M we have $x|_{B_x} \equiv 1$ and $x|_{\partial(\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus P_x)} \equiv -1$.

Non-Uniqueness for the Iceberg shift

List all possible boundaries B_1, \dots, B_k and let E_i be set of those $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\{-n, \dots, n\}^2}$ for which $B_x = B_i$.

Non-Uniqueness for the Iceberg shift

List all possible boundaries B_1, \dots, B_k and let E_i be set of those $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\{-n, \dots, n\}^2}$ for which $B_x = B_i$.

Therefore $\{x : x_{(0,0)} > 0\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i$.

Non-Uniqueness for the Iceberg shift

List all possible boundaries B_1, \dots, B_k and let E_i be set of those $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\{-n, \dots, n\}^2}$ for which $B_x = B_i$.

Therefore $\{x : x_{(0,0)} > 0\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i$.

For $x \in E_i$ we can form an admissible element x' such that $x|_{\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus P_x} = x'|_{\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus P_x}$, $x'|_{P_x} \equiv -x|_{P_x}$ and $x'|_{B_x} < 0$ but arbitrary otherwise.

Non-Uniqueness for the Iceberg shift

List all possible boundaries B_1, \dots, B_k and let E_i be set of those $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\{-n, \dots, n\}^2}$ for which $B_x = B_i$.

Therefore $\{x : x_{(0,0)} > 0\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i$.

For $x \in E_i$ we can form an admissible element x' such that $x|_{\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus P_x} = x'|_{\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus P_x}$, $x'|_{P_x} \equiv -x|_{P_x}$ and $x'|_{B_x} < 0$ but arbitrary otherwise.

It follows that $\mathbb{P}(E_i \mid x|_{\partial\{-n, \dots, n\}^2} \equiv -M) < M^{-|B_i|}$ and further that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(x_{(0,0)} > 0 \mid x|_{\partial\{-n, \dots, n\}^2} \equiv -M) = \dots \\ & \dots = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{P}(E_i \mid x|_{\partial\{-n, \dots, n\}^2} \equiv -M) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k M^{-|B_i|}. \end{aligned}$$

Non-Uniqueness for the Iceberg shift

But for fixed j we have less than $j4^j$ possible B_i with $|B_i| = j$ and therefore

$$\mathbb{P}(x_{(0,0)} > 0 \mid x|_{\partial\{-n,\dots,n\}^2}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k M^{-|B_i|} < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j4^j M^{-j} = \dots$$

$$\dots = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jx^j \right) \Big|_{x=\frac{4}{M}} = \frac{4M}{M^2 - 8M + 16} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } M \rightarrow \infty.$$

Non-Uniqueness for the Iceberg shift

But for fixed j we have less than $j4^j$ possible B_i with $|B_i| = j$ and therefore

$$\mathbb{P}(x_{(0,0)} > 0 \mid x|_{\partial\{-n,\dots,n\}^2}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k M^{-|B_i|} < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j4^j M^{-j} = \dots$$

$$\dots = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jx^j \right) \Big|_{x=\frac{4}{M}} = \frac{4M}{M^2 - 8M + 16} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } M \rightarrow \infty.$$

This concludes the proof.

Uniqueness for the Hard-core shift

To show uniqueness for the Hard-core shift we start with a lemma:

Uniqueness for the Hard-core shift

To show uniqueness for the Hard-core shift we start with a lemma:

Lemma (van den Berg-Steif)

Let μ and ν be uniform Gibbs measures on an STF X such that $(\mu \times \nu)$ -a.e. pair $(x, y) \in X \times X$ patterns x and y agree somewhere on every infinite path.

Then $\mu = \nu$.

Uniqueness for the Hard-core shift

To show uniqueness for the Hard-core shift we start with a lemma:

Lemma (van den Berg-Steif)

Let μ and ν be uniform Gibbs measures on an STF X such that $(\mu \times \nu)$ -a.e. pair $(x, y) \in X \times X$ patterns x and y agree somewhere on every infinite path.

Then $\mu = \nu$.

To prove it, take a cylinder $[w]$ defined by the pattern w .

Uniqueness for the Hard-core shift

To show uniqueness for the Hard-core shift we start with a lemma:

Lemma (van den Berg-Steif)

Let μ and ν be uniform Gibbs measures on an STF X such that $(\mu \times \nu)$ -a.e. pair $(x, y) \in X \times X$ patterns x and y agree somewhere on every infinite path.

Then $\mu = \nu$.

To prove it, take a cylinder $[w]$ defined by the pattern w .

For $(x, y) \in [w] \times (X \setminus [w])$ let $C_{(x,y)}$ be the maximal path-connected set intersecting w on which x and y disagree.

Uniqueness for the Hard-core shift

To show uniqueness for the Hard-core shift we start with a lemma:

Lemma (van den Berg-Steif)

Let μ and ν be uniform Gibbs measures on an STF X such that $(\mu \times \nu)$ -a.e. pair $(x, y) \in X \times X$ patterns x and y agree somewhere on every infinite path.

Then $\mu = \nu$.

To prove it, take a cylinder $[w]$ defined by the pattern w .

For $(x, y) \in [w] \times (X \setminus [w])$ let $C_{(x,y)}$ be the maximal path-connected set intersecting w on which x and y disagree.

By our assumption for $(\mu \times \nu)$ -a.e. pair $(x, y) \in X \times X$ $C_{(x,y)}$ is bounded and so there is a path surrounding w on which x and y agree.

Uniqueness for the Hard-core shift

Lemma (van den Berg-Steif)

Let μ and ν be uniform Gibbs measures on an STF X such that $(\mu \times \nu)$ -a.e. pair $(x, y) \in X \times X$ patterns x and y agree somewhere on every infinite path.

Then $\mu = \nu$.

Define $\Phi : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ by $(\Phi(x), \Phi(y))|_{C_{(x,y)}} = (y, x)|_{C_{(x,y)}}$.

Uniqueness for the Hard-core shift

Lemma (van den Berg-Steif)

Let μ and ν be uniform Gibbs measures on an STF X such that $(\mu \times \nu)$ -a.e. pair $(x, y) \in X \times X$ patterns x and y agree somewhere on every infinite path.

Then $\mu = \nu$.

Define $\Phi : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ by $(\Phi(x), \Phi(y))|_{C_{(x,y)}} = (y, x)|_{C_{(x,y)}}$.

By the uniform Gibbs property of μ and ν Φ preserves $\mu \times \nu$.

Uniqueness for the Hard-core shift

Lemma (van den Berg-Steif)

Let μ and ν be uniform Gibbs measures on an STF X such that $(\mu \times \nu)$ -a.e. pair $(x, y) \in X \times X$ patterns x and y agree somewhere on every infinite path.

Then $\mu = \nu$.

Define $\Phi : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ by $(\Phi(x), \Phi(y))|_{C_{(x,y)}} = (y, x)|_{C_{(x,y)}}$.

By the uniform Gibbs property of μ and ν Φ preserves $\mu \times \nu$.

We also have $\Phi([w] \times (X \setminus [w])) = (X \setminus [w]) \times [w]$, implying

$$(\mu \times \nu)([w] \times (X \setminus [w])) = (\mu \times \nu)((X \setminus [w]) \times [w]).$$

Uniqueness for the Hard-core shift

Lemma (van den Berg-Steif)

Let μ and ν be uniform Gibbs measures on an STF X such that $(\mu \times \nu)$ -a.e. pair $(x, y) \in X \times X$ patterns x and y agree somewhere on every infinite path.

Then $\mu = \nu$.

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mu([w]) &= (\mu \times \nu)([w] \times X) = (\mu \times \nu)([w] \times (X \setminus [w])) + (\mu \times \nu)([w] \times [w]) = \dots \\ \dots &= (\mu \times \nu)((X \setminus [w]) \times [w]) + (\mu \times \nu)([w] \times [w]) = (\mu \times \nu)(X \times [w]) = \nu([w]).\end{aligned}$$

Since cylinders generate the topology, that concludes the proof.

Uniqueness for the Hard-core shift

So it is enough to show that any uniform Gibbs measures μ, ν on the Hard-core shift satisfy the assumption of the previous lemma.

Uniqueness for the Hard-core shift

So it is enough to show that any uniform Gibbs measures μ, ν on the Hard-core shift satisfy the assumption of the previous lemma.

To see this we observe that $(\mu \times \nu)(x_{(0,0)} \neq y_{(0,0)} | E) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ where we condition on any event generated by points different than $(0, 0)$.

Uniqueness for the Hard-core shift

So it is enough to show that any uniform Gibbs measures μ, ν on the Hard-core shift satisfy the assumption of the previous lemma.

To see this we observe that $(\mu \times \nu)(x_{(0,0)} \neq y_{(0,0)} | E) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ where we condition on any event generated by points different than $(0, 0)$.

Consider the Bernoulli measure μ_p which assigns to a vertex 0 with probability p and 1 with probability $1 - p$.

Uniqueness for the Hard-core shift

So it is enough to show that any uniform Gibbs measures μ, ν on the Hard-core shift satisfy the assumption of the previous lemma.

To see this we observe that $(\mu \times \nu)(x_{(0,0)} \neq y_{(0,0)} | E) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ where we condition on any event generated by points different than $(0, 0)$.

Consider the Bernoulli measure μ_p which assigns to a vertex 0 with probability p and 1 with probability $1 - p$.

It follows that for $S \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ $(\mu \times \nu)(x|_S \neq y|_S) \leq \mu_{\frac{1}{2}}(x|_S = 0)$.

Uniqueness for the Hard-core shift

Bernoulli measure μ_p assigns to a vertex 0 with probability p and 1 with probability $1 - p$.

Uniqueness for the Hard-core shift

Bernoulli measure μ_p assigns to a vertex 0 with probability p and 1 with probability $1 - p$.

Now our claim would follow if $\mu_{\frac{1}{2}}(x|_S = 0) = 0$ for S - an infinite path.

Uniqueness for the Hard-core shift

Bernoulli measure μ_p assigns to a vertex 0 with probability p and 1 with probability $1 - p$.

Now our claim would follow if $\mu_{\frac{1}{2}}(x|_S = 0) = 0$ for S - an infinite path.

This is related to the percolation theory where for $p \in [0, 1]$ we consider μ_p and the set C_p of all points $x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ joined to $(0, 0)$ by a path of 0-vertices.

Uniqueness for the Hard-core shift

Bernoulli measure μ_p assigns to a vertex 0 with probability p and 1 with probability $1 - p$.

Now our claim would follow if $\mu_{\frac{1}{2}}(x|_S = 0) = 0$ for S - an infinite path.

This is related to the percolation theory where for $p \in [0, 1]$ we consider μ_p and the set C_p of all points $x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ joined to $(0, 0)$ by a path of 0-vertices.

Define $\Theta(p) := \mu_p(|C_p| = \infty)$ and $p_c := \sup\{p \in [0, 1] : \Theta(p) = 0\}$.

Uniqueness for the Hard-core shift

Bernoulli measure μ_p assigns to a vertex 0 with probability p and 1 with probability $1 - p$.

Now our claim would follow if $\mu_{\frac{1}{2}}(x|_S = 0) = 0$ for S - an infinite path.

This is related to the percolation theory where for $p \in [0, 1]$ we consider μ_p and the set C_p of all points $x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ joined to $(0, 0)$ by a path of 0-vertices.

Define $\Theta(p) := \mu_p(|C_p| = \infty)$ and $p_c := \sup\{p \in [0, 1] : \Theta(p) = 0\}$.

Harris and Hammersley showed that $p_c > \frac{1}{2}$ and this is precisely what we need to finish the proof.

References

-  R. Pavlov. *Entropy and mixing for \mathbb{Z}^d STFs*. First School on Dynamical Systems and Computation. CMM, Santiago, Chile